
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL



CRITERIA – 1.4	
1.4.1	Faculty Feedback Analysis

(INSIDE THE FILE)



RV College of Engineering®

Mysore Road, RV Vidyaniketan Post,
Bengaluru - 560059, Karnataka, India



INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

INDEX SHEET

Sl. No.	Particulars	Page No.
1.	Faculty Feedback Summary	iii
2.	Faculty Feedback Analysis : 2022-23	iv
3.	Faculty Feedback Analysis : 2021-22	v
4.	Faculty Feedback Analysis : 2020-21	vi
5.	Faculty Feedback Analysis : 2019-20	vii
6.	Faculty Feedback Analysis : 2018-19	viii



INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

Faculty Feedback Summary

Faculty feedback is collected annually as a regular practice.

Sl. No	Academic Year	Number of respondents
1.	2022-23	219
2.	2021-22	207
3.	2020-21	192
4.	2019-20	215
5.	2018-19	243

The curriculum is prepared based on feedback from faculty members and. This feedback is discussed and refined in the respective Board of Studies. Inputs from stakeholders are thoroughly deliberated in the Academic Council and incorporated into the curriculum. The recommendations of the Academic Council are then approved by the Governing Body.



INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

Faculty Feedback Analysis 2022-23

Sl. No.	Particulars	Page No.
1.	Aerospace Engineering	1-2
2.	Biotechnology	3-4
3.	Chemical Engineering	5-6
4.	Civil Engineering	7-8
5.	Computer Science and Engineering	9-14
6.	Electronics and Communication Engineering	15-20
7.	Electrical and Electronics Engineering	21-22
8.	Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering	23-24
9.	Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering	25-26
10.	Industrial Engineering and Management	27-28
11.	Information Science and Engineering	29-30
12.	Mechanical Engineering	31-32
13.	Master of Computer Applications	33-34



INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

Faculty Feedback Analysis 2021-22		
Sl. No.	Particulars	Page No.
1.	Aerospace Engineering	35-36
2.	Biotechnology	37-38
3.	Chemical Engineering	39-40
4.	Civil Engineering	41-42
5.	Computer Science and Engineering	43-48
6.	Electronics and Communication Engineering	49-54
7.	Electrical and Electronics Engineering	54-55
8.	Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering	57-58
9.	Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering	59-60
10.	Industrial Engineering and Management	61-62
11.	Information Science and Engineering	63-64
12.	Mechanical Engineering	65-66
13.	Master of Computer Applications	67-68



INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

Faculty Feedback Analysis 2020-21		
Sl. No.	Particulars	Page No.
1.	Aerospace Engineering	69-70
2.	Biotechnology	71-72
3.	Chemical Engineering	73-74
4.	Civil Engineering	75-76
5.	Computer Science and Engineering	77-82
6.	Electronics and Communication Engineering	83-88
7.	Electrical and Electronics Engineering	89-90
8.	Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering	92-93
9.	Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering	93-95
10.	Industrial Engineering and Management	96-97
11.	Information Science and Engineering	98-99
12.	Mechanical Engineering	100-101
13.	Master of Computer Applications	102-103



INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

Faculty Feedback Analysis 2019-20		
Sl. No.	Particulars	Page No.
1.	Aerospace Engineering	104-105
2.	Biotechnology	106-107
3.	Chemical Engineering	108-109
4.	Civil Engineering	110-111
5.	Computer Science and Engineering	112-117
6.	Electronics and Communication Engineering	118-123
7.	Electrical and Electronics Engineering	124-125
8.	Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering	126-127
9.	Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering	128-129
10.	Industrial Engineering and Management	130-131
11.	Information Science and Engineering	132-133
12.	Mechanical Engineering	134-135
13.	Master of Computer Applications	136-137



INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

Faculty Feedback Analysis 2018-19		
Sl. No.	Particulars	Page No.
1.	Aerospace Engineering	138-139
2.	Biotechnology	140-141
3.	Chemical Engineering	142-143
4.	Civil Engineering	144-145
5.	Computer Science and Engineering	146-153
6.	Electronics and Communication Engineering	154-157
7.	Electrical and Electronics Engineering	158-159
8.	Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering	160-161
9.	Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering	162-163
10.	Industrial Engineering and Management	164-165
11.	Information Science and Engineering	166-167
12.	Mechanical Engineering	168-169
13.	Master of Computer Applications	170-171




**Department of Aerospace Engineering
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	08
No of responses	08

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	75	25	0	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	100	0	0	0	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	62.5	25	12.5	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	87.5	12.5	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	100	0	0	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	62.5	25	12.5	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	87.5	12.5	0	0	0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	50	37.5	12.5	0	0



Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	75	25	0	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	62.5	37.5	0	0	0






Department of Biotechnology
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	17
No of responses	17

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	41.18	47.06	5.88	5.88	0.00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	31.58	21.05	21.05	15.79	10.53
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	29.41	47.06	17.65	5.88	0.00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	35.29	52.94	5.88	5.88	0.00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	35.29	47.06	17.65	0.00	0.00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	35.29	52.94	11.76	0.00	0.00
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	47.06	35.29	11.76	5.88	0.00



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	52.94	29.41	11.76	5.88	0.00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	29.41	41.18	23.53	5.88	0.00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	35.29	58.82	5.88	0.00	0.00





RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi


Department of Chemical Engineering
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	12
No of responses	11

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	60	40	00	00	00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	30	70	00	00	00
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	30	70	00	00	00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	20	80	00	00	00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	40	60	00	00	00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	30	70	00	00	00
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	20	70	00	00	10



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	20	80	00	00	00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	30	70	00	00	00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	30	70	00	00	00






**Department of Civil Engineering
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	30
No of responses	25

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	72	28	0	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	64	36	0	0	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	36	12	8	12	32
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	68	28	4	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	60	40	0	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	76	24	0	0	0
Adequate resources are available to	68	28	4	0	0

support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	64	32	4	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	68	32	0	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	60	40	0	0	0






**Department of Computer Science Engineering
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	23

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	56	35	0	5	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	13	31	0	18	35
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	52	39	0	0	5
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	61	31	0	0	5
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	69	22	0	0	5
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	69	22	0	5	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	74	18	0	5	0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	65	26	0	5	0



Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	69	22	0	5	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	78	22	0	5	0





RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

**Department of Computer Science Engineering
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
M.Tech Computer Networks Engineering
PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	14

How do you rate the following related to the M.Tech-CNE program curriculum	Excellent in %	Very good in %	Good in %	Average in %	Poor in %
How well the PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	78	22	0	0	0
How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	50	25	15	10	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	78	22	0	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	77	23	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	78	15	7	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	77	23	0	0	0




RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	70	30	0	0	0
As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	77	13	10	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	81	19	0	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	88	12	0	0	0





**Department of Computer Science Engineering
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
M.Tech Computer Science and Engineering
PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	18

How do you rate the following related to the PG program curriculum	Excellent in %	Very good in %	Good in %	Average in %	Poor in %
How well the PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	55	35	5	5	0
How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	15	30	30	20	5
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	50	40	10	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	60	40	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	70	25	5	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	70	25	0	5	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	75	20	0	5	0




RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	65	35	0	5	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	70	25	0	5	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	80	20	0	0	0




**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
 2022-2023
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	31

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	41.9	54.8	0.0	3.2	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	22.6	38.7	3.2	12.9	22.6
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	38.7	58.1	0.0	3.2	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	41.9	54.8	0.0	3.2	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	38.7	54.8	3.2	3.2	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	38.7	58.1	0.0	3.2	0.0
Adequate resources are available to					



support the delivery of the curriculum?	38.7	58.1	0.0	3.2	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	41.9	54.8	0.0	3.2	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	35.5	54.8	3.2	6.5	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	38.7	54.8	3.2	3.2	0.0






**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
MTech Communication Systems
PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	03
No of responses	03

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0





RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi


**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
MTech VLSI Design and Embedded Systems
PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	05
No of responses	04

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



curriculum facilitates student understanding.					
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0






**Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	19
No of responses	11

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	55	45	00	00	00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	36	21	00	00	45
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	60	27	13	00	00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	81	19	00	00	00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	75	19	06	00	00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	76	20	04	00	00



Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	81	19	00	00	00
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	75	19	06	00	00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	81	19	00	00	00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	63	47	00	00	00





RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi


Department of Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	14
No of responses	7

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	71.43	22.86	5.71		
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	42.86	45.71	11.43		
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	85.71	11.43	2.86		
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	85.71	0.00	14.29		
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	85.71	11.43	2.86		
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	85.71	11.43	2.86		



Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	85.71	11.43	2.86		
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	85.71	11.43	2.86		
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	85.71	0.00	14.29		
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	85.71	11.43	2.86		






**Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty**

No of faculty in the department	19
No of responses	03

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	33.33	33.33	33.33		
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	33.33	33.33		33.33	
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	33.33	33.33	33.33		
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	33.33	66.67			
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	66.67	33.33			
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	66.67	33.33			
Adequate resources are available to	66.67	33.33			



support the delivery of the curriculum?				
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	66.67	33.33		
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	33.33		66.67	
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	33.33	66.67		




**Department of Industrial Engineering and Management Engineering
 2022-2023
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	14
No of responses	4

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	50	50			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	25	50			
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	50	50			
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	25	75			
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	50	50			
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	25	75			



Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	50	50			
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	50	50			
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	25	75			
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	50	50			






**Department of Information Science Engineering
2022-2023
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	18
No of responses	12

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	41.67	50	8.33		
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	25	16.67	25	33.33	
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	41.67	58.33			
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	50	50			
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	50	50			
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	50	50			
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	50	50			



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	50	41.67	8.33		
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	58.33	41.67			
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	41.67	58.33			






**Department of Mechanical Engineering
2021-2022
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	39
No of responses	18

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	55.55	38.89	5.56		
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	44.44	44.44	5.56		5.56
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	55.55	44.45			
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	55.55	44.45			
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	55.55	44.45			
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	55.56	33.33	11.11		
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	55.56	38.89	5.55		



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	50	50			
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	44.44	44.45	11.11		
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	38.89	61.11			






DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF COMPUTER APPLICATIONS

Department of MCA Faculty Feedback Analysis (2022-23)

Sl No	Questions	Excellent	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
1.	How well the UG/PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	44%	57%	0%		
2.	How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	32%	57%	13%		
3.	How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	57%	44%	0%		
4.	How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	32%	57%	13%		
5.	The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	63%	38%	0%		
6.	The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	57%	38%	7%		
7.	Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	63%	38%	0%		
8.	As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	69%	25%	7%		
9.	Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability /enhance the skills?	44%	57%	0%		
10.	Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	50%	50%	0%		






**Department of Aerospace Engineering
2021-2022
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	08
No of responses	08

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	10	60	10	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	10	40	30	0	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	10	50	20	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	20	50	10	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	10	50	20	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	10	60	10	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	10	60	10	0	0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	20	30	30	0	0



Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	20	30	30	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	10	70	00	0	0






Department of Biotechnology
2021-2022
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	17
No of responses	12

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	41.18	47.06	5.88	5.88	0.00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	31.58	21.05	21.05	15.79	10.53
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	29.41	47.06	17.65	5.88	0.00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	35.29	52.94	5.88	5.88	0.00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	35.29	47.06	17.65	0.00	0.00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	35.29	52.94	11.76	0.00	0.00
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	47.06	35.29	11.76	5.88	0.00



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	52.94	29.41	11.76	5.88	0.00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	29.41	41.18	23.53	5.88	0.00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	35.29	58.82	5.88	0.00	0.00





Department of Chemical Engineering
2021-2022
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	12
No of responses	11

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	30	70	00	00	00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	20	70	00	00	10
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	20	80	00	00	00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	30	70	00	00	00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	30	70	00	00	00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	60	40	00	00	00
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	50	50	00	00	00




RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	70	30	00	00	00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	20	80	00	00	00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	40	60	00	00	00






**Department of Civil Engineering
2021-2022
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	30
No of responses	25

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	68	32	0	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	60	36	4	0	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	64	36	0	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	72	28	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	32	16	8	12	32
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	72	28	0	0	0
Adequate resources are available to	64	36	0	0	0



support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	80	20	0	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	72	28	0	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	68	32	0	0	0






**Department of Computer Science Engineering
2021-2022
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	22

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	72	27	0	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	32	18	0	14	36
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	77	23	0	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	77	23	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	81	18	0	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	77	23	0	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	68	32	0	0	0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	81	18	0	0	0



Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	81	18	0	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	86	18	0	0	0





RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi


**Department of Computer Science Engineering
2021-2022
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
M.Tech Computer Network Engineering
PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	15

How do you rate the following related to the M.Tech-CNE program curriculum	Excellent in %	Very good in %	Good in %	Average in %	Poor in %
How well the PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	75	25	0	0	0
How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	40	28	25	7	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	77	23	0	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	77	23	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	78	12	10	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	77	23	0	0	0



Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	68	32	0	0	0
As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	75	10	15	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	80	20	0	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	86	14	0	0	0





RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

**Department of Computer Science Engineering
2021-2022
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
M.Tech Computer Science and Engineering
PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	16

How do you rate the following related to the BE program curriculum	Excellent in %	Very good in %	Good in %	Average in %	Poor in %
How well the PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	50	35	5	5	5
How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	25	20	30	20	5
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	40	40	10	10	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	50	40	5	0	5
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	60	25	15	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	60	25	10	5	0




RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	55	20	10	15	0
As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	65	30	5	5	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	70	25	0	5	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	90	10	0	0	0




**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
 2021-2022
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	31

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	32.3	61.3	3.2	3.2	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	32.3	38.7	3.2	9.7	16.1
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	29.0	67.7	0.0	3.2	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	38.7	54.8	3.2	3.2	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	48.4	48.4	0.0	3.2	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	38.7	54.8	3.2	3.2	0.0
Adequate resources are available to					



support the delivery of the curriculum?	29.0	67.7	0.0	3.2	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	38.7	58.1	0.0	3.2	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	29.0	64.5	3.2	3.2	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	38.7	54.8	3.2	3.2	0.0





RV College of Engineering

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
2021-2022
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
MTech Communication Systems
PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	03
No of responses	03

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0




RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0




**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
 2021-2022
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 MTech VLSI Design and Embedded Systems
 PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	05
No of responses	05

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	80.0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



**Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
2021-2022
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum**




Feedback Summary from Faculty B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	19
No of responses	11

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	63	47	00	00	00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	36	21	00	00	45
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	63	27	10	00	00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	81	19	00	00	00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	81	19	00	00	00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	81	19	00	00	00
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	81	19	00	00	00



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	81	19	00	00	00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	81	19	00	00	00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	81	19	00	00	00




**Department of Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering
 2021-2022
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	14
No of responses	6

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	83.33	6.67	10.00	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	50.00	20.00	30.00	0	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	83.33	6.67	10.00	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	100.00	0.00	0.00	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	83.33	6.67	10.00	0	0

Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0	0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	83.33	6.67	10.00	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	83.33	6.67	10.00	0	0




**Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering
 2021-2022
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty**

No of faculty in the department	19
No of responses	05

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	40	60			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	20	60		20	
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	40	40	20		
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	20	60	20		
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	60	40			
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	60	40			

Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	60	20	20		
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	60	40			
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	20	40	40		
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	40	60			




**Department of Industrial Engineering and Management Engineering
 2021-2022
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	14
No of responses	4

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	50	50			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	25	50			25
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	50	50			
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	25	75			
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	50	50			
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	25	75			
Adequate resources are available to	50	25	25		



support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	50	50			
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	50	50			
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	50	50			






**Department of Information Science Engineering
2021-2022
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	18
No of responses	11

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	18.18	72.72	9.09		
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	18.18	36.36	9.09	18.18	18.18
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	45.45	54.54			
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	54.54	45.45			
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	36.36	63.63			
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	36.36	63.63			

Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	45.45	54.54			
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	54.54	45.45			
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	54.54	45.45			
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	54.54	45.45			






**Department of Mechanical Engineering
2021-2022
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	38
No of responses	12

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	66.67	33.33			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	33.33	50	8.33	8.33	
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	66.67	33.33			
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	50	50			
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	58.33	41.67			
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	50	50			
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	66.67	33.33			



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	58.33	41.67			
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	41.67	50	8.33		
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	33.33	66.67			






DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF COMPUTER APPLICATIONS

Department of MCA Faculty Feedback Analysis (2021-22)

Sl No	Questions	Excellent	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
1.	How well the UG/PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	22%	48%	6%		
2.	How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	16%	48%	11%		
3.	How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	27%	48%	0		
4.	How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	32%	43%	0		
5.	The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	27%	48%	0		
6.	The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	43%	32%	0		
7.	Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	37%	37%	0		
8.	As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	53%	22%	0		
9.	Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability /enhance the skills?	32%	43%	0		
10.	Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	37%	37%	0		





**Department of Aerospace Engineering
2020-2021
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	08
No of responses	05

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	40	60	0	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	20	40	40	0	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	20	60	20	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	20	80	00	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	20	60	20	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	40	40	20	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	20	80	00	0	0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	20	80	00	0	0




RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	40	40	20	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	20	80	00	0	0





RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi


Department of Biotechnology
2020-2021
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	17
No of responses	14

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	41.18	47.06	5.88	5.88	0.00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	31.58	21.05	21.05	15.79	10.53
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	29.41	47.06	17.65	5.88	0.00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	35.29	52.94	5.88	5.88	0.00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	35.29	47.06	17.65	0.00	0.00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	35.29	52.94	11.76	0.00	0.00
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	47.06	35.29	11.76	5.88	0.00



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	52.94	29.41	11.76	5.88	0.00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	29.41	41.18	23.53	5.88	0.00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	35.29	58.82	5.88	0.00	0.00





RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi


Department of Chemical Engineering
2020-2021
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	12
No of responses	11

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	70	30	00	00	00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	60	40	00	00	00
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	50	50	00	00	00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	70	30	00	00	00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	20	80	00	00	00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	60	20	00	20	00
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	40	40	10	10	00



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	50	30	00	20	00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	20	40	20	20	00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	60	30	00	10	00






**Department of Civil Engineering
2020-2021
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	30
No of responses	25

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	68	32	0	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	64	36	0	0	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	52	44	4	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	56	44	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	44	56	0	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	64	36	0	0	0
Adequate resources are available to	60	40	0	0	0



support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	64	36	0	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	64	32	4	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	36	12	8	8	36






**Department of Computer Science Engineering
2020-2021
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	17

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	53	41	6	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	18	29	6	12	35
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	53	47	0	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	82	18	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	76	24	0	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	70	29	0	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	76	24	0	0	0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	76	24	0	0	0




Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	70	29	0	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	87	12	0	0	0



**Department of Computer Science Engineering
 2020-2021
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 M Tech in Computer Network Engineering
 PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	12

How do you rate the following related to the M.Tech CNE program curriculum	Excellent in %	Very good in %	Good in %	Average in %	Poor in %
How well the PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	72	28	0	0	0
How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	74	14	12	0	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	62	23	6	8	1
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	67	12	6	08	7
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	81	19	0	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	87	13	0	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	78	22	0	0	0
As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	81	19	0	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	76	24	0	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	82	18	0	0	0



**Department of Computer Science Engineering
 2020-2021
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 M Tech in Computer Science and Engineering
 PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	15

How do you rate the following related to the PG program curriculum	Excellent in %	Very good in %	Good in %	Average in %	Poor in %
How well the PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	45	35	15	5	0
How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	15	30	30	20	5
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	50	40	10	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	60	40	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	70	25	5	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	50	25	20	5	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	75	20	0	5	0




RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	65	35	0	5	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	70	25	0	5	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	80	20	0	0	0




**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
 2020-2021
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	30

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	40	50	6.7	3.3	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	20.0	43.3	3.3	13.3	20.0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	33.3	56.7	6.7	3.3	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	36.7	50.0	6.7	6.7	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	46.7	46.7	3.3	3.3	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	30.0	56.7	10.0	3.3	0.0
Adequate resources are available to	40.0	53.3	3.3	3.3	0.0



support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	43.3	50.0	3.3	3.3	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	40.0	53.3	3.3	3.3	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	40.0	46.7	10.0	3.3	0.0




**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
 2020-2021
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 MTech Communication Systems
 PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	03
No of responses	03

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



understanding.					
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
 2020-2021
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 MTech VLSI Design and Embedded Systems
 PG Programme**


No of faculty in the department	05
No of responses	04

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	75.0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
MTech VLSI Design & Embeded Systems**



**Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
 2020-2021
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	19
No of responses	11

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	58	42	10	00	00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	36	21	00	00	45
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	53	37	10	00	00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	72	19	11	00	00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	81	19	00	00	00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student	81	19	00	00	00



RV College of Engineering®


Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

understanding.					
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	70	19	11	00	00
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	81	19	00	00	00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	81	19	00	00	00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	81	19	03	00	00



Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum Electrical and Electronics Engineering 2020-21



Department of Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering

2020-2021

Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Feedback Summary from Faculty

B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	14
No of responses	7



RV College of Engineering®


Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	71.43	22.86	5.71	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	57.14	34.29	8.57	0	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0



Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering 2020-21



Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering 2020-2021

Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum Feedback Summary from Faculty

No of faculty in the department	19
No of responses	03



RV College of Engineering®


Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	33.33	66.67	0	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	33.33	33.33	0	33.33	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	33.33	33.33	33.33	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	33.33	66.67	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	66.67	33.33	0	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	33.33	66.67	0	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	33.33	66.67	0	0	0



Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering 2020-21




**Department of Industrial Engineering and Management Engineering
 2020-2021
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	14
No of responses	4

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	50	50			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	25	50			25
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	50	50			
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	25	75			
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	50	50			
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	25	75			
Adequate resources are available to	50	25	25		



support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	50	50			
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	50	50			
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	50	50			






**Department of Information Science Engineering
2020-2021
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	18
No of responses	08

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	25	75			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	50	25	25		
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	50	50			
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	12.5	75	12.5		
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	25	75			
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	62.5	37.5			
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	50	50			



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	62.5	25	12.5		
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	37.5	62.5			
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	25	75			





RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi


**Department of Mechanical Engineering
2020-2021
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	38
No of responses	13

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	61.53	38.46			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	38.46	38.46	15.38	7.69	
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	46.16	53.84			
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	30.76	69.23			
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	38.46	46.15	15.38		
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	53.86	38.46	7.69		
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	69.23	30.76			



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	61.53	38.46			
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	38.46	53.84	7.69		
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	38.46	53.84	7.69		






DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF COMPUTER APPLICATIONS

Department of MCA Faculty Feedback Analysis (2020-21)

Sl No	Questions	Excellent	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
1.	How well the UG/PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	40%	47%	14%		
2.	How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	20%	67%	14%		
3.	How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	40%	54%	7%		
4.	How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	60%	27%	14%		
5.	The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	54%	47%	0		
6.	The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	67%	27%	7%		
7.	Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	54%	47%	0		
8.	As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	74%	27%	0		
9.	Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability /enhance the skills?	40%	54%	7%		
10.	Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	60%	40%	0		

MCA Faculty Feedback Analysis (2020-21)






**Department of Aerospace Engineering
2019-2020
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	10
No of responses	10

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	30	20	20	10	20
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	30	20	10	20	20
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	30	30	10	10	20
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	30	30	20	10	10
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	40	20	10	10	20
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	40	20	10	20	10
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	40	20	20	10	10
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	20	20	30	20	10



Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	40	30	10	10	10
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	10	40	10	0	0





RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi


Department of Biotechnology
2019-2020
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	17
No of responses	16

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	41.18	47.06	5.88	5.88	0.00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	31.58	21.05	21.05	15.79	10.53
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	29.41	47.06	17.65	5.88	0.00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	35.29	52.94	5.88	5.88	0.00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	35.29	47.06	17.65	0.00	0.00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	35.29	52.94	11.76	0.00	0.00
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	47.06	35.29	11.76	5.88	0.00



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	52.94	29.41	11.76	5.88	0.00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	29.41	41.18	23.53	5.88	0.00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	35.29	58.82	5.88	0.00	0.00





RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

Department of Chemical Engineering
2019-2020
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	12
No of responses	11

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	60	40	00	00	00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	50	50	00	00	00
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	70	30	00	00	00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	20	80	00	00	00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	30	70	00	00	00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	30	70	00	00	00
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	20	70	00	00	10




RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	20	80	00	00	00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	30	70	00	00	00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	30	70	00	00	00






**Department of Civil Engineering
2019-2020
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	30
No of responses	29

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	51.72	44.83	3.45	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	28	21	7	21	24
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	44.83	55.17	0	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	55.17	44.83	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	62.07	37.93	0	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	58.62	41.38	0	0	0
Adequate resources are available to	58.62	41.38	0	0	0



support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	58.62	41.38	0	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	51.72	44.83	3.45	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	48.28	51.72	0	0	0






**Department of Computer Science Engineering
2019-2020
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	26

Questions	Excellent (5) (In %)	Very Good(4) (In %)	Good(3) (In %)	Average(2) (In %)	Poor(1) (In %)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	69	23	4	0	4
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	27	19	12	12	31
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	54	35	12	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	65	35	12	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	65	31	4	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	61	35	4	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	69	23	8	0	0



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	73	27	0	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	73	19	8	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	76	16	8	0	0



**Department of Computer Science Engineering
 2019-2020
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 M. Tech in Computer Network Engineering
 PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	15


How do you rate the following related to the M.Tech CNE program curriculum	Excellent in %	Very good in %	Good in %	Average in %	Poor in %
How well the PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	73	27	0	0	0
How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	32	18	0	14	36
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	65	23	10	07	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	57	23	12	08	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	81	19	0	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	77	23	0	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	68	32	0	0	0
As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	82	18	0	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	71	28	0	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	86	14	0	0	0



RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi





RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi


**Department of Computer Science Engineering
2019-2020
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
M. Tech in Computer Science and Engineering
PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	17

How do you rate the following related to the PG program curriculum	Excellent in %	Very good in %	Good in %	Average in %	Poor in %
How well the PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	45	25	15	15	0
How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	25	25	30	20	5
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	50	40	10	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	60	40	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	60	25	15	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	70	25	0	5	0



Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	75	20	0	5	0
As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	65	35	0	5	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	70	25	0	5	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	70	30	0	0	0




**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
 2019-2020
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	28

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	25.0	64.3	7.1	3.6	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	10.7	35.7	17.9	10.7	25.0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	28.6	57.1	10.7	3.6	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	21.4	67.9	7.1	3.6	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	21.4	71.4	3.6	3.6	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	25.0	64.3	7.1	3.6	0.0
Adequate resources are available to					



support the delivery of the curriculum?	32.1	53.6	7.1	7.1	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	42.9	42.9	7.1	7.1	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	32.1	53.6	10.7	3.6	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	46.4	39.3	10.7	3.6	0.0




**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
 2019-2020
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 MTech Communication Systems
 PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	03
No of responses	01

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



curriculum facilitates student understanding.					
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
 2019-2020
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 MTech Communication Systems
 PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	05
No of responses	05

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	60.0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0




RV College of Engineering®

Autonomous
Institution Affiliated
to Visvesvaraya
Technological
University, Belagavi

Approved by AICTE,
New Delhi, Accredited
By NAAC, Bengaluru
And NBA, New Delhi

Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0




**Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
 2019-2020
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	19
No of responses	11

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	51	48	01	00	00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	36	40	00	00	24
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	63	27	10	00	00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	78	20	02	00	00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	81	19	00	00	00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	81	19	00	00	00



Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	76	20	04	00	00
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	75	19	06	00	00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	78	19	03	00	00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	78	19	03	00	00




**Department of Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering
 2019-2020
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	14
No of responses	7

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	57.14	34.29	8.57	0	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0



Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	100	0	0	0	0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	100	0	0	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	85.71	11.43	2.86	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	100	0	0	0	0




**Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering
 2019-2020
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty**

No of faculty in the department	19
No of responses	05

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	20	80			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?		20	20	60	
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?		80	20		
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?		80	20		
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	40	40	20		
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	40	60			



Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	60	20	20		
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	40	40	20		
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	20	60	20		
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	40	60			




**Department of Industrial Engineering and Management Engineering
 2019-2020
 Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
 Feedback Summary from Faculty
 B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	14
No of responses	6

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	33.33	66.64			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	16.67	33.33	33.33		16.67
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	33.33	66.64			
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	16.67	83.33			
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	50	50			
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	16.67	83.33			
Adequate resources are available to	33.33	66.64			

support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	16.67	83.33			
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	50	50			
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	50	50			






**Department of Information Science Engineering
2019-2020
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	18
No of responses	14

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	28.57	71.43			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	14.28	28.57	14.28	14.28	28.57
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	21.43	64.28	7.14	7.14	
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	21.43	64.28	14.28		
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	21.43	71.43		7.14	
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	35.71	50	7.14	7.14	
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	42.86	42.86	7.14		7.14



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	35.71	42.86	14.28	7.14	
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	28.57	57.14	14.28		
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	35.72	64.28			






**Department of Mechanical Engineering
2019-2020
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	39
No of responses	14

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	42.86	57.14			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	35.71	50	7.14	7.14	
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	50	42.86	7.14		
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	21.42	78.57			
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	50	42.86	7.14		
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	50	42.86	7.14		
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	50	50			



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	50	50			
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	28.57	57.14	14.28		
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	28.57	64.28	7.14		






DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF COMPUTER APPLICATIONS

Department of MCA Faculty Feedback Analysis (2019-20)

Sl No	Questions	Excellent	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
1.	How well the UG/PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	44%	44%	13%		
2.	How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	32%	63%	7%		
3.	How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	50%	38%	13%		
4.	How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	57%	38%	7%		
5.	The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	63%	19%	19%		
6.	The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	63%	32%	7%		
7.	Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	50%	44%	7%		
8.	As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	63%	32%	7%		
9.	Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability /enhance the skills?	32%	63%	7%		
10.	Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	57%	38%	7%		

Department of MCA- Faculty Feedback(2019-20)






**Department of Aerospace Engineering
Academic Year: 2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the Department	08
No of responses	06

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	63	25	13	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	25	38	25	13	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	38	50	13	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	25	38	25	13	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	38	50	13	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	38	50	13	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	25	38	25	13	0



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	38	50	13	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	25	38	25	13	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	25	38	25	13	0






Department of Biotechnology
2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the Department	17
No of Responses	16

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	41.18	47.06	5.88	5.88	0.00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	31.58	21.05	21.05	15.79	10.53
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	29.41	47.06	17.65	5.88	0.00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	35.29	52.94	5.88	5.88	0.00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	35.29	47.06	17.65	0.00	0.00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	35.29	52.94	11.76	0.00	0.00
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	47.06	35.29	11.76	5.88	0.00



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	52.94	29.41	11.76	5.88	0.00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	29.41	41.18	23.53	5.88	0.00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	35.29	58.82	5.88	0.00	0.00






Department of Chemical Engineering
2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	12
No of responses	11

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	30	70	00	00	00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	20	70	00	00	10
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	20	80	00	00	00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	30	70	00	00	00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	30	70	00	00	00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	60	40	00	00	00
Adequate resources are available to	40	60	00	00	00



support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	60	40	00	00	00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	20	80	00	00	00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	00	100	00	00	00





**Department of Civil Engineering
2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**


No of faculty in the department	30
No of responses	30

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	53	43	4	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	30	20	15	13	23
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	43	67	00	00	00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	54	46	00	00	00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	64	36	00	00	00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	62	38	00	00	00
Adequate resources are available to	60	40	00	00	00



support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	60	40	00	00	00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	50	41	00	00	00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	50	50	00	00	00

Faculty Feedback Analysis of Curriculum (2018-2019)





**Department of Computer Science Engineering
2018-2019**


**Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	43
No of responses	26

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	57	30	8	0	2
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	26	19	11	13	30
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	53	30	12	5	00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	51	32	11	6	00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	54	30	6	8	2
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	55	25	9	9	2
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	57	33	8	2	0



As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	60	25	15	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	53	30	12	5	00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	57	30	8	2	0






**Department of Computer Science Engineering
2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
MTech in Computer Network Engineering
PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	18

How do you rate the following related to the M.Tech CNE program curriculum	Excellent in %	Very good in %	Good in %	Average in %	Poor in %
How well the PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	77	22	01	0	0
How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	32	18	0	36	14
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	57	23	14	06	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	67	23	10	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	78	18	04	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	77	23	0	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	68	32	0	0	0
As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	81	18	0	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	81	18	0	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	82	18	0	0	0



M.Tech- CNE Faculty Feedback 2018-19






**Department of Computer Science Engineering
2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
MTech in Computer Science and Engineering
PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	14

How do you rate the following related to the PG program curriculum	Excellent in %	Very good in %	Good in %	Average in %	Poor in %
How well the PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	50	30	15	5	0
How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	25	30	30	10	5
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	50	40	10	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	50	40	10	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	70	30	0	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	70	25	0	5	0



Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	75	20	0	5	0
As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	65	35	0	5	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	70	25	0	5	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	80	20	0	0	0






**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	47
No of responses	39

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	30.3	33.33	26.7	3.3	6.7
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	6.7	20.0	20.0	16.7	36.7
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	20.0	60.0	10.0	6.7	3.3
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	20.0	63.3	6.7	6.7	3.3
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	26.7	63.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	23.3	63.3	6.7	3.3	3.3



Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	43.3	40.0	6.7	6.7	3.3
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	36.7	46.7	10.0	3.3	3.3
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	30.0	46.7	13.3	6.7	3.3
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	33.3	50.0	6.7	6.7	3.3





**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
2018-2019**


**Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
MTech in Communication Systems
PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	3
No of responses	3

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



understanding.					
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0






**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
MTech in VLSI Design and Embedded Systems
PG Programme**

No of faculty in the department	19
No of responses	11

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	0.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	40.0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
The sequencing of topics in the					



curriculum facilitates student understanding.	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0






**Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	19
No of responses	11

Questions	Excellent (5) In %	Very Good(4) In %	Good(3) In %	Average(2) In %	Poor(1) In %
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	63	47	00	00	00
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	36	21	00	00	45
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	63	27	10	00	00
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	81	19	00	00	00
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	81	19	00	00	00
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student	81	19	00	00	00



understanding.					
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	81	19	00	00	00
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	81	19	00	00	00
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	81	19	00	00	00
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	0	100	0	0	0






2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme

No of faculty in the department	14
No of responses	08

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	87.5	10	2.5	0	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	25	60	15	0	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	62.5	30	7.5	0	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	50	40	10	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	62.5	20	17.5	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	75	20	5	0	0
Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the	87.5	10	2.5	0	0



curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	87.5	10	2.5	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	62.5	30	7.5	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	75	20	5	0	0





**Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering
2018-2019**


**Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	19
No of responses	05

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	0	20	60	20	0
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	0	40	0	60	0
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	0	40	40	20	0
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	0	100	0	0	0
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	20	80	0	0	0
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	40	60	0	0	0
Adequate resources are available to	20	80	0	0	0



support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	20	80	0	0	0
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	20	60	20	0	0
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	0	100	0	0	0






**Department of Industrial Engineering and Management
2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	14
No of responses	07

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	28.57	28.57	14.28	28.57	
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	14.28	42.85	14.28	14.28	
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	57.14	28.57	14.28		
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	42.85	42.85	14.28		
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	57.14	42.85			
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	57.14	28.57	14.28		
Adequate resources are available to	42.85	42.85	14.28		



support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	57.14	42.85			
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	28.57	71.42			
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	57.14	42.85			






**Department of Information Science Engineering
2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	18
No of responses	14

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	21.43	78.57			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	7.14	35.71	14.28	14.28	28.57
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	14.28	85.72			
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	14.28	71.43	7.14	7.14	
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	42.86	50		7.14	
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	42.86	50	7.14		
Adequate resources are available to	42.86	50			7.14



support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	35.71	50	7.14	7.14	
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	35..71	57.14	7.14		
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	35..71	57.14		7.14	






**Department of Mechanical Engineering
2018-2019
Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum
Feedback Summary from Faculty
B.E. Programme**

No of faculty in the department	38
No of responses	14

Questions	Excellent (5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
How well the UG /PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	28.57	71.43			
How do you rate how many POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	42.86	35.17	21.42		
How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	35.71	35.71	28.57		
How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	35.71	64.28			
The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	42.85	50	7.15		
The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	50	28.57	21.42		
Adequate resources are available to	50	50			



support the delivery of the curriculum?					
As faculty do you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	50	50			
Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	14.28	64.28	21.42		
Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	21.42	78.57			



DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF COMPUTER APPLICATIONS

Department of MCA Faculty Feedback Analysis (2018-19)

Sl No	Questions	Excellent	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average(2)	Poor(1)
1.	How well the UG/PG curriculum aligns with the stated Program Outcomes (POs) of the program?	25%	63%	13%		
2.	How do you rate POs you believe are adequately addressed or emphasized in the curriculum?	25%	63%	13%		
3.	How well the pedagogical approaches used in the curriculum enhance student learning?	44%	32%	25%		
4.	How well the teaching methods employed in the curriculum promote student engagement?	44%	57%	0%		
5.	The curriculum for the course is well-structured and organized.	44%	50%	7%		
6.	The sequencing of topics in the curriculum facilitates student understanding.	50%	50%	0%		
7.	Adequate resources are available to support the delivery of the curriculum?	44%	57%	0%		
8.	As faculty you receive sufficient support to effectively teach the curriculum?	63%	32%	7%		
9.	Is the course industry oriented/addresses employability/enhance the skills?	38%	57%	7%		
10.	Overall, I am satisfied with the current curriculum.	50%	44%	7%		

Department of MCA- Faculty Feedback (2018-2019)

